

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

DATE: 03/15/2026 10:36:02 AM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

CENTRAL DIVISION

JASON M. LYNCH
Plaintiff

v.. Case No. 4:24-cv-00740-LPR-BBM

CLAYTON EDWARDS;
LT. HANNAH ROSS;
CONNER GOODWIN;
ZACHARY RODGERS;
SGT. SWINDLE; et al.
Defendants

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS
MAR 19 2026
TAMMY H. DOWNS, CLERK
By: *[Signature]*
DEP CLERK

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF LAST KNOWN ADDRESSES UNDER SEAL FOR PURPOSE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff Jason Matthew Lynch, proceeding pro se, respectfully moves this Court for an order requiring the Defendants or White County officials to provide the last known addresses of certain defendants under seal for purposes of completing service of process, and states as follows: *address should be given to the courts not Mr Lynch*

I. BACKGROUND

Defendants have filed a motion seeking to set aside service of process for several individuals, asserting that certain defendants were no longer employed with the White County Detention Center at the time service was attempted.

Because Plaintiff is an incarcerated litigant, he does not have access to personnel records or forwarding addresses for former employees of the detention center.

Defendants' argument therefore places Plaintiff in an impossible position: they claim service is invalid while simultaneously withholding the information necessary to complete service.

II. COURTS ROUTINELY REQUIRE DISCLOSURE OF ADDRESSES UNDER SEAL

Federal courts frequently order government employers to provide last known addresses of former employees under seal when service issues arise in prisoner civil-rights cases.

This procedure protects the privacy of former employees while still allowing the *USMS & Courts* ~~plaintiff~~ to complete service.

Courts within the Eighth Circuit have recognized that incarcerated plaintiffs cannot reasonably obtain such information without assistance from the Court.

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

See *Graham v. Satkoski*, 51 F.3d 710 (7th Cir. 1995) (holding that once a prisoner provides sufficient identifying information, the court may require the employer to assist in locating the defendant for service).

III. PLAINTIFF HAS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

Plaintiff has identified the defendants by name and by their positions at the White County Detention Center during the events giving rise to the complaint.

This information is sufficient for White County officials to identify the individuals and provide their last known addresses for service purposes.

IV. DISCLOSURE UNDER SEAL PROTECTS PRIVACY

Plaintiff is not requesting that the addresses be placed on the public docket.

Instead, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court require the addresses to be provided under seal or directly to the United States Marshal for service..

This approach protects the privacy of the individuals while ensuring the case proceeds.

V. SERVICE SHOULD NOT BE FRUSTRATED BY EMPLOYMENT CHANGES

Allowing defendants to evade service simply because they are no longer employed by the detention center would undermine the enforcement of constitutional rights in civil-rights litigation.

Federal courts consistently prevent such outcomes by requiring the employer to assist in locating former employees for service.

VI. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

For these reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Order White County, the White County Sheriff's Office, or defense counsel to provide the last known addresses of the defendants whose service is disputed;
2. Require that the addresses be provided under seal or directly to the United States Marshal for service;
3. Grant Plaintiff additional time to complete service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

-- Jason M Lynch

Respectfully submitted,